



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/484,537 06/07/95 QUEEN

C 11823-002630

020350 HM12/1130  
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP  
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER  
EIGHTH FLOOR  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111

EXAMINER

BURKE, J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1642

*32*

DATE MAILED:

11/30/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. <b>08/484,537</b>	Applicant(s) <b>Queen et al</b>
	Examiner <b>Julie E. Burke, (Reeves), Ph.D.</b>	Group Art Unit <b>1642</b>

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Julie E. Burke, (Reeves), Ph.D.

(3) Dr. Cary Queen

(2) Bill Smith

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview 24 Nov 1999

Type:  Telephonic  Personal (copy is given to  applicant  applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No. If yes, brief description:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Agreement  was reached.  was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: all pending

Identification of prior art discussed:

none

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The Examiner discussed the 112 first para rejection concerning % identity; the new matter rejection and the 112 2nd rejection. Applicants intend to show how the spec provides support for % identity vs homology; how the alignment can be made using mouse and human framework regions using Kabat numbering system by filing an After Final Amdt and Response.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2.  Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

*J. Burke*

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.